**1) Exercise 19.3-1 on page 522:**

A node is marked if one of its children have been promoted. So, assume that x is the min root (value x = 20) and it has two children with larger values (left child = 30, right child =40). Now, we preform FIB-HEAP-DECREASE-KEY on the left child so that it’s value (left child = 15) becomes smaller than the value of x. This (left child = 15 and root x = 20) violates the min heap property. So, the left child will be cut off causing the parent root x to become marked. Then CASCADING-CUT is performed on the cut child and it cascades up and joins the root list. Therefore, it doesn’t matter to the analysis that x is a marked root since none of the operations depend on this.

**Citations:** 1) <http://paul.rutgers.edu/~abasit/cs513/hw3_sol.pdf>, Author: Abdul Basit, Title: Homework 3 Solutions, Date: unknown.

2) <https://github.com/klutometis/clrs/blob/master/20.3/20.3-1.txt>, Author: Peter Danenberg, Title: clrs/20.3/20.3-1.txt, Date: Oct. 27, 2008.
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**2) Problem 19-3 on page 529: More Fibonacci-heap operations**

**a) Fib-Heap-Change-Key(H,x,k)**

if k>key[x]

then Fib-Heap-Delete(H,x) //O(lg n)

y 🡨 new node w/ key=k

Fib-Heap-Insert(H,y) //O(1)

else Fib-Heap-Decrease-Key(H,x,k) //O(1)

Amortized running time:

k <= key[x]: O(1) Since in a Fibonacci Heap the Decrease-Key function has only O(1) actual cost and amortized cost and this is the only function we need to use when k<=key[x].

k>key[x]: O(lg n)+O(1) = O(lg n) This is because Delete function depends on Decrease-Key=O(1) and Extract-Min=O(lg n) functions, so, Delete has amortized cost of O(lg n). Then along with Delete we also use Insert function which has actual and amortized cost=O(1). Therefore, by taking the sum of both function’s amortized cost we get O(lg n) amortized runtime when k>key[x].

**b) Fib-Heap-Prune(H,r).**

i 🡨 1

while i <= r

do get the i-th leaf x from leaf list

y🡨p(x)

Fib-Heap-Delete(H,x,y)

Fib-Heap-Cascading-Cut(H,y)

i++

We can delete nodes from leaves, so no rearrangements of the heap data structure or operations are necessary. Each single node deletion is, in the best case=O(1) and in the worst case=O(lg n) if we need to cascade up the heap to the top.

Amortized analysis: Let t(H)=number of trees in the root list of H, m(H)=number of marked nodes in H, n(H)=number of nodes in the heap, (Di)=potential of Fibonacci heap after deletion, and (Di-1)=potential of Fibonacci heap before deletion.

So, (Di)= t(H) + 2m(H) + n(H) is the original potential of the heap given on page 509 with number of nodes in H added. Now we have,

s = min(r,n[H]) which means s=number of nodes deleted. Then for the difference we get,

(Di) - (Di-1) = -s, this means that the difference in the potential after deletion is the number of nodes deleted. So, the difference in potential is a constant.

Therefore, we calculate the amortized cost as the sum of the actual cost=O(1) of pruning and the difference in potential as follows.

Amortized cost = ci + (Di) - (Di-1)

= ci \*O(1) + (Di) - (Di-1) where c=number of prunes is a constant

= s\*O(1) – s , where s=number of nodes deleted is a constant

= O(s) , where in s is a constant number therefore this can be written as O(1)

Thus, the amortized cost of FIB-HEAP-PRUNE will be of constant time O(1).
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